

STATE OF MAINE **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 242 STATE STREET** 18 STATE HOUSE STATION ET FILE COPY ORIGI

04333-0018

WILLIAM M. NUGENT

HEATHER F. HUNT COMMISSIONERS

GOVERNOR

October 30, 1997

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554



Re:

CC Docket 96-45, FEDERAL-STATE JOINT BOARD ON

UNIVERSAL SERVICE

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed is an original and twelve copies of the Motion of the Maine Public Utilities Commission for an Extension of Time to file Forward-Looking Economic Cost Studies, in the above docket.

Please date stamp one copy and return in the self-addressed stamped envelope.

Sincerely,

Dennis L. Keschl Administrative Director

DLK/pic

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 13 LIST ABCDE

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER TTY: 1-800-437-1220

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	OCTION
)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Federal-State Joint Board)	CC Docket No. 96-45
on Universal Service)	A Fa

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING FORWARD-LOOKING ECONOMIC COST STUDIES

The Maine Public Utilities Commission (MPUC) respectfully submits this Motion for Extension of Time to File Forward-Looking Economic Cost Studies for federal universal service support purposes. The MPUC seeks an extension from February 6, 1998, to May 6, 1998. In support of its request, the MPUC states as follows:

- 1. Paragraph 248 of Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Order No. 97-157 granted state commissions the opportunity to submit forward-looking economic cost studies for determining federal universal service support for their respective states if the states made such an election by August 15, 1997. The state cost studies are to be submitted to the FCC on or before February 6, 1998.
- 2. In Paragraph 246 of its Order No. 97-157, the FCC announced its intention to choose a forward-looking cost methodology platform by the end of 1997, thus providing the states with an opportunity to review the FCC's proposed platform before filing their cost studies on February 6, 1998.

- 3. On August 5, 1997, the MPUC notified the FCC of its intent to conduct its own cost study. This election by the MPUC was done, in part, as a "place holder" because the MPUC had not yet had an opportunity to review the FCC's proposal or conduct its own cost study proceeding. The MPUC recognized that the input values for any universal service cost model may vary significantly from state to state, and thus it may be desirable to use state-specific inputs even if the FCC's proxy model is used to calculate federal USF support.
- 4. On August 4, 1997, the MPUC began an adjudicatory proceeding to examine forward-looking economic cost studies. The docket was scheduled to be completed by February 6, 1998, so that the MPUC could make its filing with the FCC by that date. However, due to the complexity of the issues, the docket will not be complete by February 6, 1998, but instead is expected to be complete by April 15, 1998.
- 5. The FCC has recently stated that it will not be adopting a complete cost model, including the selection of input values, before February 6, 1998.
- 6. The MPUC will be unable to completely review either the FCC proposal or the models proposed by the participants in its cost study proceeding before February 6, 1998.

¹ A copy of the most recent procedural order in that docket is attached to this Motion for reference. While the MPUC expects to complete the proceeding by mid-April, the MPUC seeks an extension until May 6 to avoid the need for a further request for extension should minor additional delays develop.

- 7. The MPUC believes it should be given adequate time to produce a thoroughly developed cost study as well as adequate time to review the FCC proposal. Accordingly, the MPUC requests a 90-day extension, until May 6, 1998, in order to complete its cost study docket and review any proposal that may be released by the FCC in the interim.²
- 8. An extension of the February 6, 1998 deadline until May 6, 1998 will allow the MPUC to provide the FCC with more accurate and complete comments on the appropriate study and input levels that should be used to compute federal USF support.³

Wherefore, MPUC respectfully requests that the FCC grant its Motion for Extension of Time to File Forward-Looking Economic Cost Studies from February 6, 1998, to May 6, 1998.

Respectfully submitted,

Trina M. Bragdor

Staff Attorney

Joel Shifmar

² On October 6, 1997, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) filed a request for an extension of time for the states to file their costs models from February 6, 1998 to September 1, 1998. The MPUC endorses and supports NARUC's request.

³ The parties to the proceeding (Bell Atlantic, AT&T Communications of New England, Inc., MCI Communications, the Telephone Association of Maine, and the Office of the Public Advocate) do not object to this request for an extension.

STATE OF MAINE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 97-505

PROCEDURAL ORDER

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Investigation of Total Element
Long-Run Incremental Cost (TELRIC)
Studies and Pricing of Unbundled
Network Elements

October 28, 1997

On October 23, 1997, a case conference was held in the above-captioned matter. At the conference, Staff announced that the procedural schedule in this matter would be extended to accommodate both the Staff and the parties in developing a more complete record in this proceeding. This Order will memorialize the rulings set forth at the conference as well as the schedule for the proceeding.

The FCC February 6, 1997 Deadline

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its Universal Service Proceeding (Docket No. 96-45), requires that states which opted to conduct their own forward-looking cost studies for universal service purposes file their studies on or before February 6, 1997. See FCC Order No. 97-157 at ¶ 248. This Commission has indicated to the FCC that it intends to file its own cost study and therefore, is required to file that study on February 6, 1997. To that end, the Commission initiated this docket and issued a very aggressive procedural schedule with the hope that the proceeding could be completed in time to meet the February 6th deadline. However, it has become apparent that the current schedule does not allow either the parties or the Staff adequate time to evaluate the complex issues of this proceeding.

Accordingly, the Commission will be filing a petition with the FCC requesting a 90-day extension of the February 6th deadline. If the petition is granted, the Commission will wait until this proceeding is complete before filing any recommendations with the FCC. If, however, the petition is not granted, the Commission may be required to file recommendations/comments on February 6th. In such event, the Commission's comments will not be dispositive of the issues in this case. In addition, the parties will be given an opportunity file written comments on the content of such a recommendation.

Extension of the Case Schedule

All previous scheduling orders in this docket are now superseded by the following schedule:

To Be Determined By the Parties	Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony by Bell Atlantic		
November 14, 1997	Parties' Written Questions Regarding Proposed Advisor Submissions		
December 2, 1997	Technical Conference		
December 22, 1997	Written Surrebuttal by AT&T Written Comments by All Parties on Advisors' Submissions		
January 7, 1998	All Discovery Must Be Complete ¹		
January 12, 1998	Parties File Joint Witness Schedule and Individual Pre-Hearing Memoranda and Exhibit Lists ²		
January 16, 1998	All Evidentiary Objections Due ³		
January 20-23, 1998	Hearings		
February 12, 1998	Opening Briefs		
February 24, 1998	Reply Briefs		
March 20, 1998	Hearing Examiners' Report		
March 30, 1998	Exceptions to Hearing Examiners' Report		
April 6, 1998	Deliberations		

Staff Record Submissions

At the conference, there was a discussion regarding the record submissions which the Advisory Staff has and will be making in this

¹ The parties are directed to reach an agreement regarding the subject matter and timing of discovery which is conducted in the interim.

² <u>See</u> Procedural Order of October 6, 1997, for the specific requirements relating to the Pre-Hearing Memoranda.

³ <u>See</u> Procedural Order of October 6, 1997.

proceeding. The Hearing Examiners informed the parties that they would have an opportunity to both submit written questions to the Advisory Staff, including their consultant Dr. Gabel, as well as ask the relevant Advisor questions during a technical conference regarding the materials that will be submitted into the record. Several parties raised concerns regarding the "fairness" of allowing Advisors to submit materials into the record as well as assist the Commission with drafting a final decision. Staff noted the parties concerns but stated that no additional "process" (i.e., cross-examination, depositions), other than that which has been built into the schedule, would be allowed.

Any party which objects to the procedure set forth above must file written comments setting forth the basis for any such objections no later than FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 1997.

Discovery

Pursuant to the Procedural Order of October 16, 1997, both AT&T and Bell Atlantic filed motions to compel outstanding discovery responses. Before addressing the specific motions, the Hearing Examiner made several general rulings. First, the parties are again reminded that all objections to data requests must be timely filed and must state with specificity the grounds for objection. Objections which are filed out of time or which are not specific will be deemed waived.

Second, during the teleconference which was held on October 17, 1997, relating to Bell Atlantic's Motion for a Continuance, the Hearing Examiner ruled that Bell Atlantic could not propound the additional data requests which it had attached to its Motion. The October 17th ruling is hereby reversed and Bell Atlantic may propound the requests.

Third, in response to several data requests by Bell Atlantic⁵, AT&T filed objections stating that requests relating to the differences between Hatfield Model 3.1 and 4.0 were irrelevant to this proceeding and/or that supporting materials were unavailable. Bell Atlantic, in its Motion to Compel, represented that materials requested in this proceeding had been filed in other jurisdictions. For the purposes of

⁴ In our October 16th Procedural Order in this case, we stated that Staff would be using the data submitted by Dr. Gabel to verify and validate the costs submitted by the parties. As a point of clarification, the Commission may also use and rely upon the information contained in the submissions as they see fit in reaching a just and reasonable decision in this matter.

⁵ NYNEX-ATT Data Requests 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-7, 1-9, 1-11, 1-14, 1-19, and 1-30.

this proceeding, discovery requests relating to the difference between Hatfield Model 3.1 and 4.0 are relevant. Further, any materials provided in other jurisdictions should be provided here unless subject to protective order, in which case a copy of the protective order must be provided to the Hearing Examiners no later than OCTOBER 31, 1997.

With regard to certain data requests which neither party has fully answered and which are the subject of prior rulings, the Hearing Examiner directed the parties to reach an agreement regarding the time of production as soon as possible. Any further objections or motions to compel relating to these data requests must be filed by NOVEMBER 7, 1997.

With regard to each parties' objections to certain data requests' on the grounds that the information requested is subject to third-party proprietary agreements, the Hearing Examiner directed the parties to either reach an agreement regarding additional protections for the disclosure of such materials OR file copies of the third-party agreements no later than OCTOBER 31, 1997.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 28th day of October, 1997.

BY ORDER OF THE HEARING EXAMINERS

Charles Cohen

Trina Bragdon

 $^{^6}$ AT&T-NYNEX Data Request 1-43, 6-8, and 6-9 and NYNEX-AT&T Data Request 2-26, 2-31, 2-34, 3-41, and 3-44.

 $^{^{7}}$ AT&T-NYNEX Data Request 3-32 and NYNEX-AT&T Data Request 1-6, 1-7, 1-8, 1-10 and 1-12.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Federal-State Joint Board on)	
Universal Service)	
	•)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Motion of the Maine Public Utilities Commission for an Extension of Time for filing Forward-Looking Economic Cost Studies has been furnished to the parties on the attached service list this 30th day of October, 1997.

Joel B. Shifman, Esquire

Maine Public Utilities Commission

242 State Street

Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

umes Casserly
rederal Communicatin Commission
Office of Commissioner Ness
1919 M Street, Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

Bryan Clopton
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8615
Washington, D.C. 20554

Daniel Gonzalez
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Commissioner Chong
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

L. Charles Keller

deral Communications Commission

M Street, N.W., Room 8623

Washington, D.C. 20554

Diane Law Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8920 Washington, D.C. 20554

Tejal Mehta
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8625
Washington, D.C. 20554

John Nakahata
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Chairman
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
hington, D.C. 20554

John Clark
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, Room 8619
Washington, D.C. 20554

Irene Flannery
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M street, N.W., Room 8922
Washington, D.C. 20554

Emily Hoffnar
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8918
Washington, D.C. 20554

David Krech
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7130
Washington, D.C. 20554

Robert Loube Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8914 Washington, D.C. 20554

John Morabito
Deputy Division Chief,
Accounting and Audits
Federal Communications commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20554

Kimberly Parker Federal Communications Commission 2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8609 Washington, D.C. 20554 The Honorable Reed E. Hundt

hairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814-0101
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919-M Street, N.W., Room 844-0105 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder Commissioner South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol 500 East Capitol Street Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070

Paul Pederson
State Staff Chair
"ssouri Public Service Commission
D. Box 360
Fruman State Office Building
efferson City, Missouri 65102

The Honorable Kenneth McClure Vice Chairman Missouri Public Service commission 301 W. High Street, Suite 530 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Charles Bolle
South Dakota Public Utilities
Commission
State Capitol, 500 E. Capital Avenue
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission 1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 The Honorable Susan Ness Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832-0104 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson Chairman Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission Chandler Plaza Building 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive, S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250

The Honorable Julia Johnson
Commissioner
Florida Public Service commission
Capital Circle Office Center
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Samuel Loudenslager
Arkansas Public Service Commission
1000 Center Street
P.O. Box C-400
Little Rock Arkansas 72203-0400

Martha S. Hogerty
Public Counsel for the State of Missouri
P.O. Box 7800
Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Mark Nadel
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 542
Washington, D.C. 20554

Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Mark Long
lorida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer
Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Terry Monroe New York Public Service Commission Three Empire Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Jeanine Poltronieri
Federal Communications Commission
700 L Street, N.W., Suite 257-1600E2
shington, D.C. 20554

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Pamela Szymczak
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 257
Washington, D.C. 20036

Lisa Boehley
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
hington, D.C. 20554

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Michael A. McRae D.C. Office of the People's Counsel 1133 15th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Lee Palagyi
Washington Utilities and Transporation
Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1201 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20423

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20036

International Transcription Service 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20037

Deonne Bruning
Nebraska Public Service Commission
300 The Atrium
1200 N Street,
P.O. Box 94927
Lincoln, NE 68509-4927

3arry Payne
Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel
100 North Senate Avenue, Room N501
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

Lori Wright
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8603
Washington; D.C. 20554

Richard Smith
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8605
Washington, D.C. 20554

Sheryl Todd (disk& 1copy)
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8611
Washington, D.C. 20554